

OXFORD

 online  
resource  
centre

Raymond Wacks

# Understanding Jurisprudence

An Introduction to Legal Theory

Second edition



# Detailed contents

<i>Preface</i>	xvii
<i>Preface to first edition</i>	xix
<i>List of Tables and Figures</i>	xxii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xxiii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 An analgesic?	2
1.2 Reading	3
1.3 Why jurisprudence?	5
1.4 Descriptive and normative legal theory	7
1.5 Is eating people wrong?	8
1.6 The point of legal theory	10
<b>2 Law and morals</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Natural law	13
2.2 The development of natural law philosophy	17
2.3 Natural law in political philosophy	20
2.3.1 Hobbes	21
2.3.2 Locke	23
2.3.3 Rousseau	24
2.4 The decline of natural law	26
2.5 The revival of natural law	27
2.6 John Finnis	28
2.7 Hard and soft natural law?	31
2.8 Moral realism	33
2.9 Critique	36
2.10 Law and morality	36
2.10.1 Natural law v positivism	38
2.10.2 Hart v Fuller	40
2.10.3 Hart v Devlin	42
2.11 Judicial morality: a case study	48
2.11.1 Moral questions	49

2.11.2	Semantic questions	50
2.11.3	Public or private morality?	53
2.11.4	The judge's duty	55
2.11.5	The judge's choice	57
2.11.6	The judge's surrender	59
2.11.7	The judge and the lawyer	61
2.12	Questions	63
2.13	Further reading	65
<b>3</b>	<b>Classical legal positivism</b>	<b>69</b>
3.1	What is legal positivism?	69
3.1.1	What legal positivism is not	71
3.2	Jeremy Bentham: the Luther of jurisprudence?	73
3.2.1	In search of determinacy	74
3.2.2	Judge & Co.	76
3.2.3	Codification	76
3.3	John Austin: naive empiricist?	78
3.3.1	Imperatives	79
3.3.2	Laws properly so called	79
3.3.3	Law and power	81
3.4	Bentham and Austin compared	81
3.4.1	Their general approaches	81
3.4.2	The definition of law	83
3.4.3	Commands	83
3.4.4	Sovereignty	87
3.4.5	Sanctions	89
3.5	Questions	91
3.6	Further reading	92
<b>4</b>	<b>Modern legal positivism</b>	<b>93</b>
4.1	The foundations	94
4.2	Hard and soft positivism	95
4.3	H.L.A. Hart	98
4.3.1	Hart as legal positivist	98
4.3.2	Law and language	100
4.3.3	Law as a system of rules	100
4.3.4	Social rules	101
4.3.5	Secondary rules	102

4.3.6	The rule of recognition	103
4.3.7	The existence of a legal system	106
4.3.8	The 'internal point of view'	107
4.3.9	The judicial function	108
4.3.10	'An essay in descriptive sociology'?	108
4.3.11	Critique	110
4.4	Hans Kelsen	110
4.4.1	Unadulterated law	112
4.4.2	A hierarchy of norms	114
4.4.3	The <i>Grundnorm</i>	115
4.4.4	Validity, efficacy, and revolution	120
4.4.5	International law	123
4.4.6	Kelsen and Kant	127
4.4.7	Democracy and the rule of law	129
4.4.8	Critique	130
4.5	Joseph Raz	132
4.5.1	The 'sources thesis'	132
4.5.2	Practical reason	134
4.5.3	Committed and detached statements	134
4.5.4	Critique	135
4.6	Questions	137
4.7	Further reading	138
5	Law as integrity	141
5.1	An overview	142
5.2	The assault on positivism	143
5.2.1	Principles and policies	145
5.2.2	Hercules and hard cases	146
5.2.3	One right answer	148
5.2.4	The semantic sting	148
5.2.5	The rights thesis	151
5.2.6	Law as literature	153
5.2.7	Law as integrity	154
5.2.8	Community	156
5.3	Equality	157
5.4	The assault on Dworkin	159
5.5	Questions	170
5.6	Further reading	171

## X DETAILED CONTENTS

<b>6 Legal realism</b>	172
6.1 What are realists realistic about?	172
6.2 American Realism	173
6.2.1 Oliver Wendell Holmes Jr.	176
6.2.2 Karl Llewellyn	177
6.2.3 Jerome Frank	179
6.2.4 The American realist method	180
6.3 The Scandinavian realists	182
6.3.1 Alf Ross	184
6.3.2 Karl Olivecrona	186
6.3.3 Critique	188
6.4 Realism and psychology	188
6.5 Questions	189
6.6 Further reading	191
<b>7 Law and social theory</b>	193
7.1 What is a sociological perspective?	194
7.2 Roscoe Pound	195
7.2.1 Social interests and 'jural postulates'	196
7.2.2 Critique of Pound	197
7.3 Eugen Ehrlich	198
7.4 Émile Durkheim	200
7.4.1 Law and social solidarity	200
7.4.2 The function of punishment	201
7.4.3 Critique of Durkheim	202
7.5 Max Weber	203
7.5.1 Weber's typology of law	204
7.5.2 Weber's theory of legitimate domination	207
7.5.3 Capitalism and law	209
7.5.4 Critique of Weber	211
7.6 Karl Marx	213
7.6.1 Historicism	214
7.6.2 Base and superstructure	215
7.6.3 Ideology	216
7.6.4 Goodbye to law?	217
7.6.5 Legal fetishism	218
7.6.6 Conflict or consensus?	219

7.7	Michel Foucault	224
7.7.1	Power	225
7.7.2	The law	226
7.7.3	Critique	227
7.8	Jürgen Habermas	227
7.8.1	The modern state	227
7.8.2	The law	228
7.8.3	Critique	229
7.9	Autopoiesis	229
7.10	Whither the sociology of law?	230
7.11	Questions	231
7.12	Further reading	232
<b>8</b>	<b>Historical and anthropological jurisprudence</b>	<b>235</b>
8.1	Why do legal systems differ?	235
8.2	The historical school	237
8.2.1	Savigny	238
8.3	Sir Henry Maine	241
8.3.1	The evolution of law	241
8.3.2	Natural law	242
8.3.3	Fictions	242
8.3.4	Critique	243
8.4	Anthropological jurisprudence	244
8.4.1	'Law' in tribal societies	244
8.4.2	Bronislaw Malinowski	245
8.4.3	E. Adamson Hoebel	246
8.4.4	Max Gluckman	247
8.4.5	Paul Bohannan	247
8.4.6	Leopold Pospisil	248
8.4.7	Other theorists	248
8.5	Legal pluralism	249
8.6	Questions	249
8.7	Further reading	250
<b>9</b>	<b>Justice</b>	<b>254</b>
9.1	Utilitarianism	255
9.1.1	Consequences	257

9.1.2	Preferences	257
9.1.3	Critique of utilitarianism	258
9.2	The economic analysis of law	259
9.2.1	Critique	263
9.3	John Rawls	264
9.3.1	The rejection of utilitarianism	265
9.3.2	Social contractarianism	266
9.3.3	The original position	266
9.3.4	The two principles of justice	267
9.3.5	Political liberalism	269
9.3.6	Critique of Rawls	270
9.4	Robert Nozick	272
9.5	Questions	276
9.6	Further reading	277
<b>10</b>	<b>Rights</b>	<b>279</b>
10.1	What is a right?	279
10.2	Theories of rights	283
10.2.1	Right-based theories	284
10.3	Human rights	286
10.3.1	Communitarianism	288
10.3.2	Relativism	291
10.3.3	Utilitarianism	292
10.3.4	Socialism	292
10.3.5	Legal positivism	293
10.3.6	Critical theory	293
10.4	The future of human rights	294
10.5	Animal rights	295
10.5.1	Ethical subjectivism and intuitionism	295
10.5.2	Utilitarianism	296
10.5.3	Can animals have rights?	297
10.5.4	Social contractarianism	301
10.5.5	Intrinsic worth	302
10.5.6	The rights of animals	303
10.6	Freedom of expression: a case study	305
10.6.1	Individual or community?	306
10.6.2	Speaker or audience?	306
10.6.3	The argument from truth	307

10.6.4	Self-government	309
10.6.5	Press freedom	309
10.6.6	The First Amendment	311
10.6.7	Speech and action	311
10.6.8	Balancing	312
10.7	Questions	313
10.8	Further reading	314
<b>11</b>	<b>The duty to obey the law</b>	<b>318</b>
11.1	The terms of the debate	318
11.1.1	A prima facie duty?	319
11.1.2	Justifying the duty	320
11.2	Questions	322
11.3	Further reading	323
<b>12</b>	<b>Punishment</b>	<b>325</b>
12.1	Justifying punishment	326
12.2	Retributivism	328
12.2.1	Weak and strong retributivists	329
12.2.2	Critique	330
12.3	Consequentialism	331
12.4	Critique	332
12.5	Restorative justice	333
12.6	Critique	333
12.7	Communication	333
12.8	Critique	334
12.9	Questions	334
12.10	Further reading	335
<b>13</b>	<b>Critical legal theory</b>	<b>337</b>
13.1	Critical legal studies	338
13.1.1	Trashing CLS?	342
13.2	Postmodern legal theory	344
13.2.1	What is it?	345
13.2.2	The death of the subject	347
13.2.3	Jacques Lacan	347
13.2.4	Jacques Derrida	348



13.2.5	Foucault and Habermas	349
13.2.6	The postmodern agenda	350
13.2.7	Language	351
13.2.8	Critical theory and individual rights	352
13.2.9	Critique	353
13.3	Questions	354
13.4	Further reading	355
<b>14</b>	<b>Feminist and critical race theory</b>	<b>358</b>
14.1	Origins of feminism	359
14.2	Legal feminisms	361
14.2.1	Liberal feminism	361
14.2.2	Radical feminism	363
14.2.3	Postmodern feminism	365
14.2.4	Difference feminism	367
14.2.5	Other feminisms	369
14.3	Critique	369
14.4	Critical Race Theory	371
14.4.1	CRT and feminist theory	374
14.4.2	CRT and postmodernism	376
14.5	Questions	376
14.6	Further reading	377
	<i>Glossary</i>	380
	<i>Index</i>	385